

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/697,910	WANG, CHENG CHUNG
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	James M. Hewitt	3679

**All Participants:**

(1) James M. Hewitt.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Nelson Quintero.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 17 March 2006

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic

Video Conference

Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

35 USC 112 1<sup>st</sup> paragraph

Claims discussed:

18, 19 and 24

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner telephoned Applicant's representative to inform him of the 35 USC 112 1st paragraph rejections of claims 18, 19 and 24 and to attempt to resolve the rejections via Examiner's Amendment in order to expedite the application to issue. Mr. Quintero said that he wanted to speak to his client first before making any decision.